

Provincia di Modena
Comune di Nonantola

PIANO PARTICOLAREGGIATO DI INIZIATIVA PRIVATA SOTTOZONA D6 "FONDO CONSOLATA"

IN VARIANTE AL PRG AI SENSI DELL'ART3 DELLA L.R. 46/1988



FONDO CONSOLATA

Proprietà

LEVANTE s.r.l. in liquidazione in C.P.

FABERDOMUS IMMOBILIARE s.r.l. Via F.Selmi, 80 Modena

Legale rappresentante

Antonio Fontana

Progetto a cura di:



ingegneri riuniti

Ingegneria Architettura Ambiente

Direttore Tecnico: Ing. Emanuele Gozzi

Coordinatore di Progetto

Ing. Federico Salardi

Progetto Architettonico

Arch. Lorenzo Lipparini

Collaboratori al Progetto Architettonico

Arch. Serena Vezzali

Dott. Edoardo Mastrantonio

Progetto Urbanizzazioni

Ing. Federico Salardi

Collaboratori al Progetto Urbanizzazioni

Ing. Guasconi Erica

Progetto Opere a Verde

Dott. in Sc. Agrarie Alessandro Grazia

Valutazione Ambientali, Geologiche e Acustiche

Geo Group S.r.l.

Dott. Geol. Pier Luigi Dallari

Dott.ssa Federica Finocchiaro

Valutazione Energetiche

Ing. Emilio Lucchese

Integrazioni al Rischio Sismico

Codice Progetto

1972 FS

Scala

-

Codice Elaborato

U-00-A-R-18

a	Novembre 2022	emissione	geo	ll
Rev.	Data	Descrizione revisione	Dis.	Contr.

Comune di Nonantola

Provincia di Modena

INTEGRAZIONI AL RISCHIO SISMICO



INTEGRAZIONI AL RISCHIO SISMICO

inerente il Piano Particolareggiato di Iniziativa Privata in
Variante della Sottozona D6 "Fondo Consolata", nel Comune
di Nonantola



NOEMBRE 2022

Rif. 1281/21



Sede Legale: Via C. Costa, 182 - 41123 Modena
Uffici: Via Per Modena, 12 - 41051 Castelnuovo R. (MO)
Tel. 059 3967169 - Fax. 059 5960176
info@geogroupmodena.it
www.geogroupmodena.it
P.IVA 02981500362



OGGETTO: Fondo Consolata – rischio sismico (Rif. 706/20) – “Caratterizzazione geologica, geotecnica e sismica a supporto della variante al piano particolareggiato di iniziativa privata Fondo Consolata nel Comune di Nonantola (MO)”

In data 23/11/2020, sono state eseguite in sito le seguenti indagini geognostiche ubicate come da figura seguente:

- *n. 2 prove penetrometriche statiche con punta elettrica e piezocono CPTU*
- *n. 4 prove penetrometriche statiche con punta meccanica CPT*




Fig. 1.1 – Ubicazione CPT e CPTU.

Nella tabella seguente si espongono le profondità delle verticali di prova realizzate ed il valore della soggiacenza della falda per ciascuna prova.

VERTICALE DI PROVA	PROFONDITÀ PROVE	SOGGIACENZA FALDA
CPT 1	-20.00 m da p.c.	-2.48 m da p.c.
CPT 2	-20.00 m da p.c.	-2.40 m da p.c.
CPT 3	-15.00 m da p.c.	-3.00 m da p.c.
CPT 4	-15.00 m da p.c.	-3.00 m da p.c.
CPTU 1	-20.12 m da p.c.	-4.20 m da p.c.
CPTU 2	-20.10 m da p.c.	-3.55 m da p.c.

Azione sismica e risposta sismica del sito

In accordo con quanto esposto nella D.G.R. 630/19, l'analisi RSL in oggetto è stata svolta adottando come input sismico 3 accelerogrammi, corrispondenti allo stato limite SLV. I segnali di riferimento sono stati successivamente scalati per il valore di PGA_0 atteso al suolo rigido in corrispondenza dell'areale oggetto di analisi. Per fare ciò è stata considerata l'interpolazione spaziale dei valori di PGA_0 proposta da INGV per il territorio nazionale e resi disponibili per il territorio regionale sui punti di una griglia con passo 0.05 gradi.

Per il sito in oggetto tale valore è pari a **0.161g** considerando un valore di **$C_u = 1.00$** (Classe d'uso II) e **$V_n = 50$ anni** per ottenere un tempo di ritorno pari a 475 anni.

Dai risultati esposti nella relazione (Rif. 706/20), dall'analisi di risposta sismica locale si ottiene un valore di accelerazione massima attesa al suolo del valore **$PGA_{max}=A_{max}=0.240g$** (per $T=0$ s).

Stabilità nei confronti della liquefazione (DGR 630/19 e DGR 476/21)

Si illustrano i valori di LPI considerando un'accelerazione massima al suolo **$A_{max} = 0.240g$** (calcolata secondo RSL) e una magnitudo di riferimento pari a **$M=6.14$** (derivante dalla zonazione sismogenetica).

A seguito della verifica, si sono ottenuti i seguenti valori di LPI:

INDAGINE	INDICE DI LIQUEFAZIONE	RISCHIO
CPTU 1	0.0	MOLTO BASSO
CPTU 2	5.695	ALTO
CPT 1	1.755	BASSO
CPT 2	1.412	BASSO
CPT 3	0.0	MOLTO BASSO
CPT 4	0.0	MOLTO BASSO

Stima dei cedimenti indotti dall'azione sismica (DGR 630/19 e DGR 476/21)

La stima dei cedimenti indotti dall'azione sismica si ottiene applicando le procedure descritte nell'allegato A3.B della DGR 476/2021. Si ricorda che, come cita la norma DGR 476/21, la stima dei cedimenti indotti dall'azione sismica è affetta da notevoli incertezze e che i valori che si ottengono devono intendersi solo orientativi. Il calcolo dei cedimenti post-sismici in terreni incoerenti (*vertical settlements*) in condizioni sature (*liquefaction vertical settlements*) e insature (*dry sand vertical settlements*) ha evidenziato quanto segue:

INDAGINE	CEDIMENTO IN TERRENI INCOERENTI SATURI – (Liq. Seattle)	CEDIMENTO IN TERRENI INCOERENTI INSATURI – (Dry. Seattle)
CPTU 1	0.00 cm	0.00 cm
CPTU 2	14.57 cm	0.00 cm
CPT 1	3.32 cm	0.00 cm
CPT 2	3.32 cm	0.00 cm
CPT 3	0.00 cm	0.00 cm
CPT 4	0.00 cm	0.00 cm

I cedimenti conseguenti a liquefazione di terreni granulari saturi hanno luogo immediatamente dopo il terremoto o comunque in un tempo non superiore a un giorno, i cedimenti per densificazione di terreni granulari insaturi avvengono durante lo scuotimento sismico, mentre i cedimenti per consolidazione di

terreni coesivi soffici possono richiedere tempi anche molto lunghi in funzione delle condizioni stratigrafiche e geotecniche.

Si precisa che l'elaborato (Rif. 706/20) contiene lo studio di Microzonazione Sismica a supporto del progetto per la presentazione della variante urbanistica relativa al piano particolareggiato di iniziativa privata del comparto "Fondo Consolata" nel Comune di Nonantola (MO). Lo studio in oggetto è stato eseguito in ottemperanza alla disposizione regionale DGR 630/2019 e alle norme tecniche delle per le costruzioni NTC 2018. Lo studio comunale di Microzonazione sismica, ed in particolare la "Carta delle Microzone omogenee in prospettiva sismica" prescrive, per l'area in oggetto, approfondimenti di III livello a causa di scenari suscettibili di instabilità. Per tale prescrizione, nel presente elaborato è stato eseguito uno studio di "III livello di approfondimento" mediante risposta sismica locale RSL, stima dei cedimenti post-sismici e valutazione della suscettività alla liquefazione.

Dalle verifiche eseguite, si evidenzia un'instabilità in corrispondenza della verticale CPTU 2; dall'analisi della suscettibilità nei confronti del fenomeno della liquefazione per la CPTU 2 si è ottenuto un valore di LPI pari a un rischio alto.

Secondo questo studio di Microzonazione Sismica realizzato a supporto del progetto per la presentazione della variante urbanistica, gli interventi in progetto possono essere realizzati ma con le seguenti prescrizioni, già citate nell'elaborato Rif. 706/22: in fase di progettazione esecutiva, per ciascuna area di sedime di ogni fabbricato che verrà realizzato, sarà necessario eseguire una campagna di indagini geognostiche al fine di definire le caratteristiche geologico-stratigrafiche, geotecniche e sismiche relative al volume significativo di sottosuolo indagato per ogni edificio e quindi definire il rischio di liquefazione in maniera puntuale. In caso di accertato rischio di liquefazione, sarà necessario realizzare interventi atti a mitigarne il rischio.

Inoltre in accordo con il paragrafo 7.11.3.4.3 della normativa tecnica nazionale NTC 2018, sarà a discrezione del progettista stabilire l'adeguatezza del margine di sicurezza nei confronti della liquefazione.

Modena, 17 ottobre 2022

Dott. Geol. Pier Luigi Dallari



GEO GROUP s.r.l.

Indagini geognostiche e geofisiche – geologia applicata alle costruzioni – laboratorio geotecnico - idrogeologia
– coltivazione cave– bonifiche – consolidamenti – geologia ambientale – consulenze geologiche e geotecniche

Prove penetrometriche statiche CPTU

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT



Project title :

Location :


CPT file : CPTU 1

Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	4.20 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	4.20 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		




Summary of liquefaction potential



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	4.20 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	4.20 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	4.20 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _g applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	4.20 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	4.20 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	4.20 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	4.20 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _g applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	4.20 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Yellow	Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	4.20 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	4.20 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title :

Location :

CPT file : CPTU 2


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	3.55 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	3.55 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sands only
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	20.00 m
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	3.55 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.55 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	3.55 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.55 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	3.55 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.55 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	3.55 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _g applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.55 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m




F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	3.55 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	Yes
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.55 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	20.00 m

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title : Studio del terreno di fondazione

Location : Fondo Consolata


CPT file : CPT-01

Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	2.48 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior	
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	applied:	Sands only
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	Limit depth:	N/A
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_0 applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method



CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I _c value	I _c cut-off value:	2.53	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.48 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.53	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.48 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.53	K _g applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.48 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.53	K _s applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.48 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Light Green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark Green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Light Green	Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots






Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.53	K_0 applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.48 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT


Project title : Studio del terreno di fondazione
Location : Fondo Consolata
CPT file : CPT-02
Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	2.40 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_σ applied:	Yes		


Summary of liquefaction potential


Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.40 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.40 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.40 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.40 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A




F.S. color scheme

■	Almost certain it will liquefy
■	Very likely to liquefy
■	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
■	Unlike to liquefy
■	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

■	Very high risk
■	High risk
■	Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	2.40 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title : Studio del terreno di fondazione

Location : Fondo Consolata

CPT file : CPT-03


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	3.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_0 applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _g applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K _σ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A




F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.60	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




Project title : Studio del terreno di fondazione

Location : Fondo Consolata

CPT file : CPT-04


Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	3.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit cut-off value:	2.40	Trans. detect. applied:	Yes	MSF method:	Method
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	K_0 applied:	Yes		



Zone A₁: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading
 Zone A₂: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.40	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBT legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

CPT basic interpretation plots (normalized)




Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.40	K _g applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

SBTn legend

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained


Liquefaction analysis overall plots (intermediate results)



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.40	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A

Liquefaction analysis overall plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Ic cut-off value:	2.40	K ₀ applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M _w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A




F.S. color scheme

Red	Almost certain it will liquefy
Orange	Very likely to liquefy
Yellow	Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
Green	Unlike to liquefy
Dark green	Almost certain it will not liquefy

LPI color scheme

Red	Very high risk
Orange	High risk
Green	Low risk

Liquefaction analysis summary plots



Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	Depth to GWT (erthq.):	1.00 m	Fill weight:	N/A
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	Average results interval:	3	Transition detect. applied:	Yes
Points to test:	Based on I_c value	I_c cut-off value:	2.40	K_{σ} applied:	Yes
Earthquake magnitude M_w :	6.14	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Peak ground acceleration:	0.24	Use fill:	No	Limit depth applied:	No
Depth to water table (insitu):	3.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A